

Abstract of the Honor Council
Case 12, Fall 2013
1/21/2014

Members Present:

Adriana Bracho (presiding), Isabelle Lelogeais (clerk), Shep Patterson, Hurst Williamson, John King, Avani Shah, Michael Williams-Hart, Aaroh Parikh, Brooke Evans, Luke Van der spoel (Observing), Claire Bonnyman (Observing), Tanvi Ngpal (Observing)

Ombuds: Kristina Vu

Letter of Accusation:

The Honor Council received a letter accusing Student A and Student B of unauthorized collaboration in an upper level CAAM course.

Evidence Submitted:

- Letter of Accusation
- Student A's written statement
- Student B's written statement
- Course Syllabus
- Student A's Exam
- Student B's Exam
- Student A's HW 33
- Student A's HW 37
- Expert Deposition 1
- Expert Deposition 2
- Expert Deposition 3
- Expert Deposition 4
- Professor Deposition
- Witness Deposition 1
- Witness Deposition 2

Additional Evidence:

- HW 33 and 37 Solutions
- Code Demo
- Student B HW 33
- Student B HW 37
- Timestamp Evidence of Student A's Exam
- Text Messages from Student A

Plea:

Student A pled "Not in Violation."

Testimony:

Student B began by saying that she did not violate the Honor Code. She testified that she worked extremely hard in this class and earned her grade. She believes that Student A violated the Honor Code and plagiarized her exam.

Verdict Deliberations:

Council members believed that a preponderance of the evidence supported that a violation occurred due to the certainty of all four expert depositions as well as the noted similarities between the two exams. The council believed that a preponderance of the evidence supported that Student B was not in violation.

Straw Poll #1: Does a preponderance of the evidence support that a violation occurred?

Yes: 9+3 observing

No: 0

Abstentions: 0

Straw Poll #2: Does a preponderance of the evidence support that Student B is “In Violation?”

Yes: 0

No: 9+3 observing

Abstentions: 0

Decision:

The Honor Council thus finds Student B “Not in Violation” of the Honor Code.

Time of testimony and deliberations: 18:22

Respectfully submitted,
Isabelle Lelogeais
Clerk