

Abstract of the Honor Council
Case 17-3, Fall 2017
March 29, 2018

Members Present:

Reece Rosenthal (presiding), Stefano Romano (clerk), Grace Coleman, Rohit Chouhan, Virginia Xie, Bella Bunten

Ombuds: Kenton Whitmire

Letter of Accusation:

The Honor Council received a letter accusing Student A of unauthorized collaboration on a homework in a lower level COMP course. The Chair read the Letter of Accusation aloud in full.

Evidence Submitted:

- Letter of Accusation
- Student A's written statement
- Student sample codes
- Project description
- Supplementary evidence
- Full code comparison
- Student submitted screenshots
- Source code
- Student A's code

Plea:

Student A pled "Not In Violation."

Testimony:

Student A started by stating that he did not collaborate with the other student at all in this course. Student A then stated that the accuser's description of his code as unusual is incorrect, and then went on to explain the concepts and coding process for his code. He then stated that he did go to TA sessions for help on this assignment.

Student A then closed by stating that he did not collaborate with any other students on this assignment. He then stated that he disagreed with the accuser's statement that his code is dissimilar from the rest of the class in the same way as the other accused student. He states that his code is different from that of the other accused student, and in fact his code is very similar to that of most students in the class.

Verdict Deliberations:

Council members believed that a preponderance of the evidence supported that a violation occurred because

Vote #4: Does a preponderance of the evidence support that a violation occurred?

Yes: 3
No: 3
Abstentions: 0

Decision:

The Honor Council thus finds Student A “Not In Violation” of the Honor Code.

Time of testimony and deliberations: 1 hour, 40 minutes

Respectfully submitted,
Stefano Romano
Clerk